

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

ERNEST C. HARPER,

Petitioner,

v.

ROBERT P. HOUSTON, Director
Nebraska Department of Corr., FRED
BRITTEN, Warden, Tecumseh
Correctional Inst., and NEBRASKA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONAL
SERVICES,

Respondents.

CASE NO. 8:11CV324

ORDER

This matter is before the court on Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (Filing No. [21](#)) and Motion for Certificate of Appealability (Filing No. [22](#).) On March 9, 2012, the court determined that Parts 1 and 2 of the claim set forth in Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition") were successive. (Filing No. [20](#).) The court granted Petitioner until April 6, 2012, in which to either amend his Petition to delete these claims or seek authorization from the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals to proceed on these successive claims. (*Id.*)

The court has carefully reviewed the record and Petitioner's pending Motions and finds no reason to reconsider or revise any part of its previous Memorandum and Order. As such, the Motions are denied, and Petitioner shall have until April 6, 2012, in which to comply with the court's March 9, 2012, Memorandum and Order.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. Petitioner's Motion for Reconsideration (Filing No. [21](#)) and Motion for Certificate of Appealability (Filing No. [22](#)) are denied;

2. In accordance with the court's March 9, 2012, Memorandum and Order, Petitioner shall have until April 6, 2012, to either amend his Petition to delete the successive claims (Parts 1 and 2 of his claim), or to seek authorization from the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals to proceed on these successive claims; and
3. In the event that Petitioner takes no action by April 6, 2012, the court will dismiss Parts 1 and 2 of Petitioner's habeas claim and will progress this matter on the merits of Part 3 only.

DATED this 30th day of March, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
Chief United States District Judge

*This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.